## LIDCKET HILE COPY ORIGINAL

## MILLER & VAN EATON

MATHIEW CAMES
KENNETH A BRUNLITI\*
FREDERICK E LELROD HE
MARCH TRISCHKORN
MITSUKO R HERRERA\*
WILLIAM E JOWERY
NICHOLAS P MILLER
HOLEY E SAURER
JOSEPH VAN FATON

1155 CONNECTICUL AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-4320 TELEPHONE (202) 785-0600 FAX (202) 785-1234

MILLER & VAN EATON, L.L.P. 400 MONIGOMIRY STREET SUITE 501 SAN FRANCISCO, C ALIFORNIA 94104-1215 TELEPHONI (415) 477-3650 EAX (415) 477-3652

WWW MILLERVANEATON COM

August 6, 2003

RECEIVED

Marlene H. Dortch. Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

AUG - 6 2003

PENERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION DEFINE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: W1 Docket 02-100

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Anne Arundel County ("County") hereby supplements the 30-day interference mitigation status report filed separately today by wireless carriers operating in the County. As indicated in that separate report's cover letter, the County agrees with the description of the status of cooperative efforts to eliminate or mitigate commercial provider interference to the County's 800 MHz public safety radio system.

Our agreement with the description in the status report should not, however, be construed as acceptance by the County of voluntary, open-ended mitigation efforts as the sole legal recourse for the interference it continues to experience. The County reserves the right to challenge that process in any appeal of the Order.

Related to this reservation is our need to qualify the following sentence from the carrier status report. "Although the County expressed concern that the interference at these [four] sites may be 'intractable,' the Carriers remain optimistic that the interference will be curable once the County's upgrades are complete and carriers are given another opportunity to address the issue."

OF COUNSEL

JAMES R HOBSON

NANNETTE M HOULISTONT

GERARD L LEDI RER\*\*

WILLIAM R MALONL

JOHN F NOBLE

- \*Admitted to Practice in California Only
- \*\*Admitted to Practice in New Jersey Only
- †Admitted to Practice in New Mexico Only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Letter of August 5, 2002 from Karl Nelson to Linda Schuett, County Attorney. The status report is a requirement of the Memorandum Opinion and Order ("Order"), DA 03-2196, released July 7, 2003, responding to a Cingular Wireless petition concerning the County's zoning for wireless telecommunications facilities. At the time of the filing of this supplement, it was not clear how Nextel would be reporting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Letter of August 6, 2003 to Gary Oshinsky from Robert Kirk of Cingular Wireless.

## MILLER & VAN EATON, P.L.L.C.

**-** 2 -

With respect, the upgrades to the County's radio system will not be completed for several years. The process is dependent on yearly funding. We simply cannot commit to waiting so long for the elimination or diminution of this persistent interference. If our estimate is correct that the intractable interference will not be cured by the upgrades, we intend to reserve the option to deal with the problems sooner rather than later.

The Cingular Wireless cover letter's third paragraph leaves the erroneous impression that the County's system upgrade is directed to interference mitigation. In fact, the primary aim is to enhance in-building coverage, with interference mitigation being a beneficial by-product of that effort. The cover letter also states incorrectly Cingular's "contribution" to the interference at the four sites estimated to be intractable. The County's ex parte communication of July 17, 2003 identified one of the four sites as involving "Nextel/Cingular" and two other of the sites as involving "all three" of Nextel, Cingular and Verizon Wireless

Sincerely,

James R. Hobson

Counsel to Anne Arundel County

1236 Hora

cc Gary Oshinsky, FCC/WTB, Robert Kirk. Cingular Wireless